

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant :	Peter Gernold	Art Unit :	3621
Serial No. :	10/784,848	Examiner :	Chrystina E. Zelaskiewicz
Filed :	February 24, 2004	Conf. No. :	9474
Title :	GENERATING DATA SUBSCRIPTIONS BASED ON APPLICATION DATA		

**MAIL STOP AF**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**REPLY TO FINAL ACTION OF JUNE 22, 2009**

In response to the action of June 22, 2009, applicant asks that all claims be allowed in view of the amendments to the claims and the following remarks. Claims 1-6, 10-15, 19, and 25-31 are currently pending, with claims 1, 10, and 19 being independent.

**§ 103 Rejections**

Claims 1-6, 10-15, 19, and 25-31 have been rejected as being unpatentable over Bracho (U.S. Patent No. 5,870,605) in view of Blankestijn (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0165724). Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection because each of Bracho, Blankestijn, and the proposed combination fails to describe or suggest all of the features of independent claims 1, 10, and 19, as discussed below.

For example, independent claim 1 recites, among other things, modifying, based on generation parameters associated with a subscription generator, a list of subscriptions generated based on execution of a query against portions of application data. Applicant submits that each of Bracho, Blankestijn, and the proposed combination fails to describe or suggest at least these features.

Specifically, the Office Action indicates that Bracho does not disclose the feature of “modify ... query.” Office Action of June 22, 2009 at page 3. The Office Action relies on Blankestijn for this feature. Blankestijn, however, fails to describe or suggest modifying, based on generation parameters associated with a subscription generator, a list of subscriptions generated based on execution of a query against portions of application data.